



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,837	03/26/2001	G. Eric Engstrom	112076-138339	9404

25943 7590 06/19/2009
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900
1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, MICHAEL M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3629

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/19/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/817,837	Applicant(s) ENGSTROM, G. ERIC	
	Examiner Michael M. Thompson	Art Unit 3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 45-74 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 45-74 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear whether Applicant is claiming a system or method especially when considering the dependencies. These claims appear to be hybrid claims reciting a system with no actual structure wherein the body recites what appear to be steps, thus making it unclear if the claims are directed to a system or method. The claims have been considered system claims for purposes of examination in light of language contained in the preamble. If Applicant has intended hybrid claims, upon further explanation the claims may also be non-statutory since the claims are intended to embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101. *Ex parte Lyell* (17 USPQ2d 1548).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3629

4. **Claims 45-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiloh (US 2001/0037316) or Shiloh (US 7412422).**

5. **With respect to claim 45**, both Shiloh references teach a method of operation, performed by a device, comprising: receiving by the device (i.e. either the user computer itself or the RU/VU database computer/server for example, col. 4 and 16 or the Figures), from a first server of a first service provider, a request for registration information for a user of the device (i.e. the idea of Shiloh being used for registration purposes and transacting in col. 4, lines 30-41, and as defined under “real entity”, “real personality” or “real user”), the registration information requested including one or more personality characteristics (i.e. Inherent in registration process due to the broadest reasonable interpretation of personality characteristics such as but not limited to Shiloh's depiction of “real personality” and his teaching of the virtual personality co. 4, lines 30-41 and col. 4, line 65 thru col. 5, lines 1-7, passim); the device causing a second server of a second service provider to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics to portray a desired persona, the one or more personality characteristics being based at least in part on content of a service provided by the first service provider, the second service provider providing a personality service; (i.e. the Virtual Personality Database and/or AVPP, and figures showing the different servers such as Figure 2) and transmitting by the device, or the device causing the second server to transmit, the personality profile to the first server to respond to the request. (i.e. this occurs for example in Figure 2 where the AVPP system or the equivalent virtual embodiment is utilized by a user to interact over

Art Unit: 3629

the internet with registration systems mentioned above.) Please also note Col. 16 throughout the instant rejection recognizing the adaptation of profile information.

Applicant(s) are reminded that optional or conditional elements do not narrow the claims because they can always be omitted. See e.g. MPEP §2106 II C: “Language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. [Emphasis in original.]”; and *In re Johnston*, 435 F.3d 1381, 77 USPQ2d 1788, 1790 (Fed. Cir. 2006) (“As a matter of linguistic precision, optional elements do not narrow the claim because they can always be omitted.” (where the Federal Circuit affirmed the Board’s claim construction of “further including that said wall may be smooth, corrugated, or profiled with increased dimensional proportions as pipe size is increased” since “this additional content did not narrow the scope of the claim because these limitations are stated in the permissive form ‘may.’”))

6. **With respect to claim 46**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein the method further comprises the device requesting the first service provider for information. (i.e. this is inherent Shiloh when the user computer accesses the service provider website and inherently exchanges information upon execution of the website address.)

7. **With respect to claim 47**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein the personality profile is provided by the second server of the personality service provider, based at least in part on a service provided by the first service provider, and transmitted to the first server of the first service provider by the device,

Art Unit: 3629

and the method further comprises receiving by the device, the personality profile from the second server of the personality service provider. (i.e. the thrust of the Shiloh patent is a virtual profile that is capable of providing information to the user for use in accessing websites as shown at least in the Figures.)

8. **With respect to claim 48**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein the personality profile is provided by the second server of the personality service provider, and the method further comprises receiving by the device, a list of personality profiles from the second server of the personality service provider, the list having been put together by the second server of the personality service provider, based at least in part on a service provided by the first service provider, and selecting by the device, a personality profile from the list of personality profiles. (i.e. as recited in col.8, the personality service provider and AVPP can create it's own line of virtual products to be offered to the user.)

9. **With respect to claim 49**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein the personality profile is generated by the device, and transmitted to the first server of the first service provider by the device. (i.e. as in the case when the user chooses it's own custom profile, the user device (potentially designated as either the user input and users computer or RU/VU database computer. In short, the profile can be generated by the users computer through user input when registering with service providers.)

10. **With respect to claim 50**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein the method further comprises requesting by the device, to initiate an e-

Art Unit: 3629

commerce transaction with the first service provider, or to participate in a chat session hosted by the first service provider. (i.e. Col. 4 and 5)

11. **With respect to claim 51**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein said one or more personality characteristics comprise at least one selected from a group consisting of a description of hobbies, a description of interests, and a biographical description. (i.e. col. 4 and 5 describe at least biographical information such as imaginary name, imaginary address in response to e-commerce or registration sites accessed by the real personality/user.)

12. **With respect to claim 52**, both Shiloh references teach a method of operation, performed by a first server of a first service provider providing a personality service, comprising: receiving by the first server, from a client device, a request to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics for a user of the client device (i.e. as described in claim 45, this process is inherent in any computer to computer interaction where there is a request for information and is taught in Shiloh. This is taught when the user computer accesses the AVPP databases to request a virtual identity), for responding to a request of a second server of a second service provider, requesting for registration information for the user, the registration information requested including the one or more personality characteristics (i.e. this is a request as described in col. 4 wherein the real personality is prompted to register and the AVPP system provides virtual identities); providing by the first server, a personality profile having the one or more personality characteristics for response, the one or more personality characteristics being based at least in part on

Art Unit: 3629

content of a service provided by the second service provider; and transmitting by the first server to the client device or the second server the generated personality profile.

(i.e. Shiloh teaches the virtual identity for use in providing information to the first server based on characteristics as described in the rejection of claims 45-51 above.)

Applicant(s) are reminded that optional or conditional elements do not narrow the claims because they can always be omitted. See e.g. MPEP §2106 II C: “Language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

[Emphasis in original.]”; and *In re Johnston*, 435 F.3d 1381, 77 USPQ2d 1788, 1790

(Fed. Cir. 2006) (“As a matter of linguistic precision, optional elements do not narrow

the claim because they can always be omitted.” (where the Federal Circuit affirmed the

Board’s claim construction of “further including that said wall may be smooth,

corrugated, or profiled with increased dimensional proportions as pipe size is increased”

since “this additional content did not narrow the scope of the claim because these

limitations are stated in the permissive form ‘may.’”)

13. **With respect to claim 53**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 52, wherein said receiving comprises receiving by the first server, the one or more requested personality characteristics from the client device. (i.e. inherent when the client device such as the user computer or the RU/VU database computer provides information. The user could fill out the requested information or the information provided by the AVPP server can be used. Please note rejections above.)

Art Unit: 3629

14. **With respect to claim 54**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 52, wherein the method further comprises the first server ascertaining the service offered by the second service provider, based at least in part on a communication between a server of the second service provider and the first server. (i.e. this could be any means by which the first service provider receives the second service providers virtual identity/information. Shiloh teaches the use of the virtual identity where the second server generates an identity that is communicated to the first server. Please note rejections above.)

15. **With respect to claim 55**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 52, wherein said providing comprises identifying by the first server, for the client device, a plurality of personality profiles; and receiving by the first server, from the client device, a selection of one of the identified personality profiles. (i.e. as recited in col.8, the personality service provider and AVPP can create it's own line of virtual products to be offered to the user. These identities would be offered and accepted by the user/ user's computer.)

16. **With respect to claim 56**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 52, wherein said providing comprises generating by the first server one or more personality profiles having the one or more personality characteristics, the one or more personality characteristics being based at least in part on a service provided by the second service provider. (As described above, the Shiloh virtual identities and personal characteristics are created in response to the information requested by the servers.)

Art Unit: 3629

17. **With respect to claim 57**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 52, wherein said one or more personality characteristics comprise at least one of a description of hobbies, a description of interests, and a biographical description. (i.e. This claim is similarly rejected as to that of claim 51.)

18. **With respect to claim 58**, both Shiloh references teach an apparatus comprising: a storage medium having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions, designed to enable the apparatus to receive from a first server of a first service provider, a request for registration information for a user of the apparatus, the registration information requested including one or more personality characteristics, cause a second server of a second service provider to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics, the one or more personality characteristics based at least in part on content of a service offered by the first service provider, the second service provider providing a personality service, and transmit, or cause the second server of the personality service provider to transmit, the personality profile to the first server of the first service provider to respond to the request; and a processor coupled to the storage medium to execute the plurality of programming instructions. (i.e. the apparatus of this claim is directed to the computer of a user for use on the internet and the programming instructions. This is merely an operating system and/or web browser all clearly taught by the use of the Shiloh invention. In the least, a process/apparatus is covered as well by the rejection of claims 45 and 52.)

Art Unit: 3629

19. **With respect to claim 59**, both Shiloh references teach the apparatus of claim 58, wherein said plurality of instructions are further designed to enable the apparatus to perform at least a selected one from the group consisting of requesting information from the first service provider, initiating an e-commerce transaction with the first service provider, and participating in a chat session hosted by the first service provider (i.e. This claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 58).

20. **With respect to claim 60**, both Shiloh references teach the apparatus of claim 58, wherein said plurality of instructions are designed to enable the apparatus to request the personality service provider for the personality profile (i.e. This claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 58).

21. **With respect to claim 61**, both Shiloh references teach the apparatus of claim 60, wherein said plurality of instructions are further designed to enable the apparatus to receive a list of personality profiles from the personality service provider, and identify for the personality service provider a selected one of the list of personality profiles (i.e. This claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 58).

22. **With respect to claim 62**, both Shiloh references teach the apparatus of claim 58, wherein said plurality of instructions are designed to enable the apparatus to generate the personality profile. (i.e. This claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 58. On further note, this claim is construed to be the computer system enabling the user to create the profile when accessing the website that is requesting the information. The operating system allows a user to enter information which allows the apparatus to generate the personality profile.)

Art Unit: 3629

23. **With respect to claim 63**, both Shiloh references teach the apparatus of claim 58, wherein said one or more personality characteristics comprise at least one of a description of hobbies, a description of interests, and a biographical description. (i.e. This claim is similarly rejected to that of claim 51 and 57.)

24. **With respect to claim 64**, both Shiloh references teach a computer readable medium tangibly embodied to be read by a computer comprising: a storage medium; and a plurality of programming instructions stored in the storage medium, the programming instructions designed to program an apparatus to enable the apparatus to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics for a user of a client device for use to register the user with a service provider, the one or more personality characteristics based at least in part on content of a service provided by the service provider. (i.e. this could include the user computer wherein it allows the user to generate a personality profile or the AVPP system of Shiloh.)

25. **With respect to claim 65**, both Shiloh references teach the computer readable medium of claim 64, wherein the programming instructions are designed to enable the apparatus to generate the personality profile based at least in part on a service provided by the service provider. (i.e. This claim is similarly rejected to that of claim 64.)

26. **With respect to claim 66**, both Shiloh references teach the computer readable medium of claim 64, wherein the programming instructions are designed to enable the apparatus to provide a list of personality profiles to the client device, based at least in part a service provided by the service provider, and receive a selection from the

Art Unit: 3629

provided list from the client device. (i.e. This claim is similarly rejected to that of claim 64.)

27. **With respect to claim 67**, both Shiloh references teach the computer readable medium of claim 64, wherein said one or more personality characteristics comprise at least one of a description of hobbies, a description of interests, and a biographical description. (i.e. This claim is similarly rejected to that of claims 51, 57 and 64.)

28. **With respect to claim 68**, both Shiloh references teach the computer readable medium of claim 64, wherein said plurality of instructions are further designed to either enable the apparatus to transmit the personality profile to the client device, or transmit the personality profile to a server of the service provider. (i.e. This claim is similarly rejected to that of claim 64.)

29. **With respect to claim 69**, both Shiloh references teach a system comprising: a networking interface; a storage medium coupled to the networking interface, and having stored therein a plurality of programming instructions designed to enable the system to, receive from a first server of a first service provider, a request for registration information for a user of the system, the registration information requested including one or more personality characteristics, cause a second server of a second service provider to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics, the one or more personality characteristics based at least in part on a service offered by the first service provider, the second service provider providing a personality service, and transmit, or cause the second server of the personality service provider to transmit, the personality profile to the first server of the

Art Unit: 3629

first service provider to respond to the request; and a processor coupled to the storage medium and the networking interface to execute the programming instructions. (i.e.

This claim is similarly rejected to that of claims 45, 52, 58 and 64.)

30. **With respect to claim 70**, both Shiloh references teach the system of claim 69, wherein the programming instructions are further designed to enable the system to perform at least a selected one from the group consisting of requesting information from the first service provider, initiating an e-commerce transaction with the first service provider, and participating in a chat session hosted by the first service provider. (i.e. the examiner assumes that applicant is limiting to a group of e-commerce or chat session. Both are taught by Shiloh as referenced above.)

31. **With respect to claim 71**, both Shiloh references teach the system of claim 69, wherein the programming instructions are further designed to enable the system to receive a list of personality profiles from the personality service provider, and identify for the personality service provider a selected one of the list of personality profiles. (i.e. rejected under a software system and browser that allows this process as in claims 45, 52, 58 64 and 69.)

32. **With respect to claim 72**, both Shiloh references teach the method of claim 45, wherein prior to transmission of the personality profile by the device, the personality profile is provided to the device by the second server. (i.e. as taught in Shiloh under the AVPP system, for example, Figure 2, and referred to above.)

33. **With respect to claim 73**, both Shiloh references teach an apparatus, comprising: means for receiving from a first server of a first service provider, a request

Art Unit: 3629

for registration information for a user of the apparatus, the registration information requested including one or more personality characteristics; means for causing a second server of a second service provider to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics to portray a desired persona, the one or more personality characteristics being based at least in part on content of a service provided by the first service provider, the second service provider providing a personality service; and means for transmitting or causing the second server to transmit, the personality profile to the first server to respond to the request. (In light of examiner's 112 rejections this claim is interpreted to include similar features as to claims 45, 52, 58, 64 and 69 and is similarly rejected.)

34. **With respect to claim 74**, both Shiloh references teach a method, comprising: accessing or attempting to access a first service provider by a user; receiving by a user, in response to the accessing or attempting to access a request for registration information, the registration information requested including one or more personality characteristics; causing, by a user, a second service provider to dynamically generate and provide a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics to portray a desired persona, the one or more personality characteristics being based at least in part on content of a service provided by the first service provider, the second service provider providing a personality service; and transmitting by a user, or causing a server to transmit, the personality profile to the first service provider to respond to the request. (i.e. this claim is similarly rejected as to claims 45, 52, 58, 64, 69 and 73.)

Response to Arguments

35. Applicant's arguments filed 4-08-2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. At the outset, the Examiner has clarified the second paragraph rejection to better point out that Applicant's claims appear to be apparatus claims that lack requisite structure and appear to be in a form of a process claim. A majority of the body of the claims appear directed to the intended use of the device under the current statutory class.

In response to the prior art of record, Applicant's primary argument is that Shiloh does not teach the dynamic generation of a personality profile having one or more personality characteristics based on at least in part on content of a service provided by a service provider." The Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to clarify the statements made in the rejection. In particular, the rejection states that the first provider is explicitly if not inherently included in the Shiloh process when the rejection stated, "the idea of Shiloh being used for registration purposes and transacting in col. 4, lines 30-41, and as defined under "real entity", "real personality" or "real user"), the registration information requested including one or more personality characteristics (i.e. Inherent in registration process due to the broadest reasonable interpretation of personality characteristics such as but not limited to Shiloh's depiction of "real personality" and his teaching of the virtual personality co. 4, lines 30-41 and col. 4, line 65 thru col. 5, lines 1-7, passim)." These statements were intended to point out that the AVPP system was meant to interact with "first providers" or other internet websites as shown in Figure 1 (i.e. boxes 10-11 and 22 showing various levels of personality profiles

Art Unit: 3629

generated for the purpose of anonymous communications) that are requesting "registration information." In particular, column 4, lines 30-42 describe the use of the AVPP system to satisfy registration to sites over the internet. Various sites over the internet are interacted with by the AVPP system, which may be construed as the second service provider, providing the anonymous profile as described by reference numeral 10 of Figure 1. This data generated by the AVPP system is clearly generated to satisfy requested information from first service providers such as those websites requesting information from the user as shown in Figure 1. The Examiner agrees that the remaining claims contain similar language and are rejected over Shiloh for similar reasons.

Conclusion

36. The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record, within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3629

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am-5:30 except Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael M Thompson/
Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/JOHN G. WEISS/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629